### YAMAP0880S

### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Jones et al. : Art Unit:

Express Mail: EV337309601US : Examiner:

Filed: July 9, 2003

For: AUTOSTEREOSCOPIC DISPLAY

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1345

## TRANSMITTAL OF CERTIFIED COPY

Attached please find the certified copy of the foreign application from which priority is claimed for this case:

Country: Great Britain

Application Number: 0216608.0

Filing Date: July 17, 2002

SIGNATURE OF ATTORNEY

Reg. No. 26,725

Tel. No. (216) 621-1113

Neil A. DuChez

RENNER, OTTO, BOISSELLE & SKLAR, P.L.L.

1621 Euclid Avenue Nineteenth Floor

Cleveland, Ohio 44115







The Patent Office Concept House Cardiff Road Newport South Wales NP10 8QQ

I, the undersigned, being an officer duly authorised in accordance with Section 74(1) and (4) of the Deregulation & Contracting Out Act 1994, to sign and issue certificates on behalf of the Comptroller-General, hereby certify that annexed hereto is a true copy of the documents as originally filed in connection with the patent application identified therein.

In accordance with the Patents (Companies Re-registration) Rules 1982, if a company named in this certificate and any accompanying documents has re-registered under the Companies Act 1980 with the same name as that with which it was registered immediately before re-registration save for the substitution as, or inclusion as, the last part of the name of the words "public limited company" or their equivalents in Welsh, references to the name of the company in this certificate and any accompanying documents shall be treated as references to the name with which it is so re-registered.

In accordance with the rules, the words "public limited company" may be replaced by p.l.c., plc, P.L.C. or PLC.

Re-registration under the Companies Act does not constitute a new legal entity but merely subjects the company to certain additional company law rules.

Signed C.L.

Dated 22 May 2003

Patents Form 1/77

17 JUL 2002

Patent Office

THE PATENT OFFICE

17 JUL 2002

NEWPORT

17JUL02 E734042-2 D01063 P01/7700 0.00-0216608.0

The Patent Office

Cardiff Road Newport South Wales NP9 1RH

1. Your reference

this form)

JSR.P52007GB

2. Patent application number (The Patent Office will fill in this part)

Request for grant of a patent

(See the notes on the back of this form. You can also get an

explanatory leaflet from the Patent Office to help you fill in

0216608.0

3. Full name, address and postcode of the or of each applicant (underline all surnames)

Sharp Kabushiki Kaisha 22-22 Nagaike-cho Abeno-ku, Osaka 545 Japan

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

If the applicant is a corporate body, give the country/state of its incorporation

Japan

8167869002.

4. Title of the invention

"Autostereoscopic Display"

5. Name of your agent (if you have one)

"Address for service" in the United Kingdom to which all correspondence should be sent (including the postcode)

Marks & Clerk

4220 Nash Court Oxford Business Park South Oxford OX4 2RU United Kingdom

7271125001

11

Patents ADP number (if you know it)

6. If you are declaring priority from one or more earlier patent applications, give the country and the date of filing of the or of each of these earlier applications and (if you know it) the or each application number

Country

Priority application number (if you know it)

Date of filing (day / month / year)

7. If this application is divided or otherwise derived from an earlier UK application, give the number and the filing date of the earlier application

Number of earlier application

Date of filing (day / month / year)

8. Is a statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent required in support of this request? (Answer 'Yes' if:

a) any applicant named in part 3 is not an inventor, or

- b) there is an inventor who is not named as an applicant, or
- c) any named applicant is a corporate body. See note (d))

Yes

# Patents Form 1/77. er the number of sheets for any of the following items you are filing with this form. Do not count copies of the same document Continuation sheets of this form Description 14 Claim (s) Abstract Drawing (s) 10. If you are also filing any of the following, state how many against each item. Priority documents Translations of priority documents Statement of inventorship and right to grant of a patent (Patents Form 7/77) Request for preliminary examination and search (Patents Form 9/77) Request for substantive examination (Patents Form 10/77) Any other documents (please specify) 11. I/We request the grant of a patent on the basis of this application. mm Signature Date 16 # July 2002

12. Name and daytime telephone number of

person to contact in the United Kingdom

After an application for a patent has been filed, the Comptroller of the Patent Office will consider whether publication or communication of the invention should be prohibited or restricted under Section 22 of the Patents Act 1977. You will be informed if it is necessary to prohibit or restrict your invention in this way. Furthermore, if you live in the United Kingdom, Section 23 of the Patents Act 1977 stops you from applying for a patent abroad without first getting written permission from the Patent Office unless an application has been filed at least 6 weeks beforehand in the United Kingdom for a patent for the same invention and either no direction prohibiting publication or communication has been given, or any such direction has been revoked.

Marks & Clerk

John S. Robinson - 01865 397900

#### Notes

- a) If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 0645 500505.
- b) Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.
- c) If there is not enough space for all the relevant details on any part of this form, please continue on a separate sheet of paper and write "see continuation sheet" in the relevant part(s). Any continuation sheet should be attached to this form.
- d) If you have answered 'Yes' Patents Form 7/77 will need to be filed.
- e) Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.
- f) For details of the fee and ways to pay please contact the Patent Office.

Patents Act 1977 (Rule 15) 17 JUL 2002



# Statement of inventorship and of right to grant of a patent

THE PATENT OFFICE
J
1 7 JUL 2002

The Patent Office

Cardiff Road Newport South Wales NP9 1RH

1. Your reference

JSR.P52007GB NEWPORT

2. Patent application number (if you know it)

3. Full name of the or of each applicant

Sharp Kabushiki Kaisha

4. Title of the invention

"Autostereoscopic Display"

5. State how the applicant (s) derived the right from the inventor (s) to be granted a patent

By virtue of employment of the inventors by Sharp Laboratories of Europe Limited (SLE), and by agreement with Sharp Kabushiki Kaisha.

6. How many, if any, additional Patents Forms None 7/77 are attached to this form?

(see note (c))

7.

I/We believe that the person(s) named over the page (and on any extra copies of this form) is/are the inventor(s) of the invention which the above patent application relates to.

Signature Marks & Clerk MM ( Date

45 July 2002

8. Name and daytime telephone number of person to contact in the United Kingdom

John S. Robinson - 01865 397900

### Notes

- a) If you need help to fill in this form or you have any questions, please contact the Patent Office on 0645 500505.
- b) Write your answers in capital letters using black ink or you may type them.
- c) If there are more than three inventors, please write the names and addresses of the other inventors on the back of another Patents Form 7/77 and attach it to this form.
- d) When an application does not declare any priority, or declares priority from an earlier UK application, you must provide enough copies of this form so that the Patent Office can send one to each inventor who is not an applicant.
- e) Once you have filled in the form you must remember to sign and date it.

Enter the full names, addresses and postcodes of the inventors in the boxes and underline the surnames

JONES, Graham Roger 8 Stanford Road Faringdon Oxfordshire SN7 7AQ

8427098001

Patents ADP number (if you know it):

JACOBS, Adrian Marc Simon 94 Herschel Crescent Littlemore Oxford OX4 3TU

8427106001

Patents ADP number (if you know it):

BOURHILL, Grant 1 Mount Pleasant Stow-on-the-Wold Gloucestershire GL54 1AL

830747001

Patents ADP number (if you know it):

Reminder

Have you signed the form?

Enter the full names, addresses and postcodes of the inventors in the boxes and underline the surnames	MONTGOMERY, David James 7 Tudor Close Iffley Oxford OX4 4HB
	7707821002
	Patents ADP number (if you know it):
	MUSGRAVE, Bronje Mary 23 Isis Close Abingdon Oxfordshire OX14 3TA
	8427080001
	Patents ADP number (if you know it):
•	
Reminder	
	Patents ADP number (if you know it):

### AUTOSTEREOSCOPIC DISPLAY

The present invention relates to an autostereoscopic display.

Autostereoscopic displays are well-known and examples of such displays are disclosed in EP 0 602 934, EP 0 656 555, EP 0 708 351, EP 0 726 482, EP 0 829 743 and EP 0 860 728. EP 0 829 744 discloses an example of such a display which is switchable between an autostereoscopic mode and a two dimensional mode. An autostereoscopic display capable of providing crosstalk correction for removing or reducing the effects of crosstalk between left eye and right eye images is disclosed in EP 0 953 962. Such displays may, for example, be based on liquid crystal spatial light modulators comprising arrays of picture elements (pixels) which modulate a light source in accordance with image data representing at least two homologous images.

Transflective displays which are capable of operating selectively in a transmissive mode or a reflective mode are also known. An example of a transflective liquid crystal display is disclosed in M. Kubo et al, "Development of advanced TFT with good legibility under any intensity of ambient light", Proceedings of Information Displays Workshop 1999, Sendai, Japan, pages 183 to 186.

Figure 1 of the accompanying drawings illustrates a known type of display which is of the transmissive type and which may be operated in two dimensional (2D) and three dimensional (3D) modes. The display comprises a liquid crystal spatial light modulator (SLM) 1 providing an array of pixels such as 2. Colour filtering (not shown) is provided so that the pixels are arranged as groups of red, green and blue (RGB) pixels for displaying a full colour image. The pixels are also arranged as columns for displaying interleaved vertical strips of left (L) and right (R) images.

A backlight 3 is disposed behind the SLM 1 and directs light towards the SLM through a 2D/3D switching arrangement 4, a patterned retarder 5, and an input polariser 6 of the liquid crystal device (LCD) forming the SLM 1. Light transmitted through the SLM 1 passes through an output polariser 7.

In the 3D mode of operation, the switching arrangement 4 is configured as a polariser which supplies linearly polarised light to the patterned retarder 5. The retarder 5 comprises alternating region in the form of a first group of regions such as 8 which have no effect on the polarisation of the light passing therethrough and relatively narrow regions 9 which rotate the polarisation of the light passing therethrough by 90°. The polariser 6 is arranged to block light passing through the regions 8 and to transmit light passing through the regions 9 so that the combination of the retarder 5 and the polariser 6 forms a rear parallax barrier with slits corresponding to the regions 9.

The parallax barrier controls the direction of light passing through the pixels such that light passing through those pixels which display the left eye image forms a left eye viewing window at a predetermined viewing distance from the display whereas light passing through those pixels displaying a right eye image forms a right eye viewing window at the viewing distance.

When the display is switched to the 2D mode of operation, the switching arrangement 4 is arranged not to polarise the light from the backlight 3. The structure of the patterned retarder 5 is required to be invisible and light from the backlight 3 passes through the pixels in all directions to provide a wide viewing angle. The SLM 1 is controlled to display a 2D image.

Figure 2 of the accompanying drawings illustrates a typical example of a transflective display which may be used in an autostereoscopic display of the type shown in Figure 1. The display is based on a liquid crystal device having a liquid crystal layer 10 and red, green, and blue colour filters 11. A microreflective structure 12 is provided for the reflective mode of operation whereas a backlight 13 is provided for the transmissive mode of operation. Polarisers/retarders are provided as shown at 14 and 15.

When a transflective display, for example of the type shown in Figure 2, is used in a rear parallax barrier autostereoscopic display, for example of the type shown in Figure 1, the display may be used in the autostereoscopic mode only in the transmissive mode of the SLM. In particular, the left and right eye viewing windows are produced only by

light transmitted from the backlight through the parallax barrier structure. However, in many viewing conditions, ambient light is reflected to the eyes of an observer from the display so that an observer sees a mixture of light transmitted from the backlight through the SLM and ambient light modulated by the SLM and reflected, for example, by the microreflective structure 12 shown in Figure 2. Whereas transmitted light modulated by the left and right eye images is seen substantially only by the left and right eyes, respectively, of the observer, reflected light is seen by both eyes of the observer and this may contribute greatly to image crosstalk which degrades the 3D effect. For example, if the reflected brightness is 10% of the transmitted brightness, this adds approximately 10% of crosstalk. Thus, in order to provide the best 3D viewing conditions during the autostereoscopic 3D mode of operation, the brightness of the reflected ambient light should be small compared with the transmitted light. Conversely, when the reflected ambient light is excessive, the resulting image crosstalk greatly degrades the 3D effect to the point where 3D operation is ineffective. This may result in visual stress to the observer.

According to a first aspect of the invention, there is provided an autostereoscopic display comprising a pixellated transflective spatial light modulator, a backlight, a screen for substantially preventing transmission of light from the backlight through at least one first region of the modulator to an autostereoscopic viewing region of the display, and a controller for setting at least some of the pixels of the at least one first region to a first predetermined transmissivity and for setting at least some of the pixels of at least one second region of the modulator to a second predetermined transmissivity less than the first transmissivity.

The arrangement may comprise a screen for substantially preventing the transmission of light from the backlight through the at least one first region.

The arrangement may comprise a first portion of the backlight disposed behind the at least one first region and switchable off independently of a second portion of the backlight disposed behind the at least one second region.

According to a second aspect of the invention, there is provided an autostereoscopic display comprising a pixellated transflective spatial light modulator, a backlight, and a controller for alternately selecting first and second phases of operation, wherein, during the first phase, the controller sets at least some of the pixels of at least one first region of the modulator to a first transmissivity and sets the backlight to supply light of a first intensity through at least some of the at least some pixels of the at least one first region, and wherein, during the second phase, the controller sets at least some of the pixels of at least one second region of the modulator to a second transmissivity less than the first transmissivity and sets the backlight to supply light of a second intensity greater than the first intensity through at least some of the at least some pixels of the at least one second region.

The at least one first region may at least partially overlap the at least one second region. Each of the at least one first region and the at least one second region may comprise substantially the whole display area of the modulator.

The controller may be arranged to switch automatically between the first and second phases.

The display may comprise a first manually operable control for switching between the first and second phases.

The display may comprise a parallax element between the modulator and the backlight. The parallax element may comprise a parallax barrier. The screen may comprise part of the parallax element.

The first transmissivity may be substantially equal to the maximum transmissivity of the pixels.

The at least one second region may comprise a plurality of second regions. The controller may be arranged to set the pixels of the different second regions to different second transmissivities.

The controller may be arranged to set the pixels of the at least one second region to any one of a plurality of second transmissivities.

The pixels of each second transmissivity may form a pattern providing a visual representation of a crosstalk value corresponding to the second transmissivity.

The display may comprise a second manually operable control for selecting any one of the second transmissivities. The controller may be arranged to provide a or the crosstalk value corresponding to the selected second transmissivity. The controller may be arranged to perform crosstalk correction of autostereoscopic image data for the modulator in accordance with the crosstalk value.

The display may have a two dimensional operational mode. The controller may be arranged to switch to the two dimensional mode when the crosstalk value exceeds a predetermined threshold.

The at least some pixels of the first and second regions may be of the same colour.

The modulator may comprise a liquid crystal device.

It is thus possible to provide an arrangement which allows the ambient reflected light and the image crosstalk to be assessed. This may be used to indicate to an observer of the display when the ambient illumination has reached an undesirable level. This information may be used to provide crosstalk correction for the current level of crosstalk. Alternatively, if an undesirable level of crosstalk is indicated, the display may be switched out of the autostereoscopic mode, for example to a 2D mode where crosstalk is irrelevant. It is unnecessary to assess ambient reflected light and crosstalk in such a 2D mode so the display need not give any indication during the 2D mode.

The invention will be further described, by way of example, with reference to the accompanying drawings, in which:

Figure 1 is a cross sectional diagram illustrating a known type of rear parallax barrier autostereoscopic display;

Figure 2 is a cross sectional diagram illustrating a known type of transflective liquid crystal display;

Figure 3 is a block schematic diagram illustrating an autostereoscopic display constituting an embodiment of the invention;

Figure 4 is a diagram illustrating a transflective display panel of the display of Figure 3;

Figure 5 illustrates examples of different shapes of brightness indicators;

Figure 6 illustrates the appearance of a brightness indicator for different levels of ambient illumination;

Figure 7 illustrates as flow diagrams two different modes of operating the display of Figure 3; and

Figure 8 illustrates as cross-sectional diagrams a brightness indicator formed within a visual position indicator.

Figure 3 shows an autostereoscopic display 20 connected to a computer 21 for supplying 2D and 3D image data to be displayed by the display 20. The display 20 comprises a liquid crystal device 22 of the transflective rear parallax barrier type. The LCD 22 is connected to a controller 23 which has a manually operable control in the form of a pointing device such as a computer mouse 24. The controller 23 receives the image data from a graphics interface or card 25 of the computer, which also has a pointing device in the form of a mouse 26.

Although Figure 3 illustrates the display 20 as being separate from the computer 21, the display may be incorporated within a computer with the computer acting as the controller 23 so that the mouse 24 is not then required. Alternatively, the display may not be connected to a computer but may operate independently or be connected to equipment other than a computer.

The computer 21 supplies the image data to the display and may generate the image data. Alternatively or additionally, image data from a digital 3D camera or the like may be supplied to the computer 21, which then processes the data as necessary and supplies it to the display 20. The computer 21 also provides image and control data so as to cooperate with the LCD 22 to provide a graphical user interface for cooperating with the mouse 24 and/or the mouse 26.

An example of the LCD 22 is illustrated diagrammatically in Figure 4 and may be of the type illustrated in Figure 2 having a microreflective structure in the form of a reflective layer 30. The rear parallax barrier is shown at 31 and the backlight is shown at 13.

The parallax barrier 31 comprises transparent elongate slits which are arranged parallel to each other and evenly spaced. However, the barrier 31 has a region 32 forming an opaque screen which blocks the transmission of light from the backlight 13 through a first region 33 ("area one") of the LCD 22. The first region 33 is surrounded by a second region 34 ("area two") which is not screened from light from the backlight 13. Thus, ambient illumination 35 from the front of the LCD 22 is reflected by the reflective layer 30 through both the first region 33 and the second region 34 whereas transmitted illumination from the backlight 13 passes through the second region 34 but is blocked by the screen 32 and does not pass through the first region 33.

In an alternative embodiment, the backlight has a first portion behind the first region 33 which can be switched off independently of a second portion of the backlight behind the second region 34. The opaque screen 32 is thus not necessary and may be omitted.

In order to provide an indication of the level of ambient reflected light and hence of crosstalk which would affect the transmissive autostereoscopic 3D mode of operation of the display, the pixels of the LCD 22 in the first region 33 are set by the controller 23 to maximum transmissivity. For example, in the case of a colour display having red, green and blue pixels, the pixels of one colour such as red are set to maximum intensity whereas the green and blue pixels are set to minimum intensity or transmissivity. The green and blue pixels in the second region 34 are set by the controller 23 to minimum transmissivity whereas the red pixels in the second region 34 are set by the controller 23 to a fraction A of maximum transmissivity.

The intensity of ambient light reflected through the first region 33 is represented by R whereas the total reflected and transmitted intensity from the second region 34 is given by (T+R)A, where T represents the transmitted intensity through the region 34. Thus, when the light intensity from the first region 33 is equal to that from the second region 34, the following condition applies:

$$R=A(T+R)$$

Which may be rewritten as:

$$A = \frac{\frac{R}{T}}{\left(\frac{R}{T} + 1\right)}$$

The value of A represents the fractional intensity or transmissivity for the second region 34 such that the first and second region appears to have the same intensity when the reflected light is equal to a certain proportion of the transmitted light (R/T). The level of crosstalk added by the reflected light is also given by A. When the display is operating in the autostereoscopic 3D mode, reflected light adds to the intensity of the correct image seen by each eye but also induces crosstalk because the reflected light is visible to each eye from pixels displaying an image intended for the other eye.

Figure 5 illustrates four examples of appearances of the first and second regions. An observer has to assess whether the amount of reflected ambient light is problematic so that these regions are arranged to provide a clear indication. The shapes illustrated at (a), (b) and (d) are in the form of "icons" and do not in themselves have any particular meaning. However, they do permit a clear assessment of the prevailing conditions to be made by the observer. The shape illustrated at (c) is in the form of text characters "3D" and indicates that the display is in the 3D operating mode. As a further alternative, the shape may be in the form of a number, which may, for example, represent the value of the fractional intensity A and hence the value of crosstalk which would be present in the transmissive autostereoscopic 3D mode of operation.

Figure 6 illustrates diagrammatically how the regions would appear depending on the amount of ambient light being reflected from the display. The icon shown at Figure 5 (a) is illustrated for three different conditions of ambient lighting. At Figure 6 (a), area one appears darker than area two to the observer. If the fractional intensity A has been set to represent the maximum amount of crosstalk which can be accepted during autostereoscopic viewing, then this appearance would signify to the observer that the display can continue to be used in the autostereoscopic 3D mode. Figure 6 (b) illustrates the condition in which first and second regions have the same appearance i.e. have the same lighting intensity. When the first and second regions appear like this to the observer, the amount of reflected ambient light is at the limit for autostereoscopic viewing of the display.

Figure 6 (c) illustrates the condition in which the first region appears brighter than the second region and this corresponds to a relatively large amount of reflected ambient light. This appearance indicates that the amount of crosstalk caused by the reflected ambient illumination is unacceptably high and the display should not therefore be used in the autostereoscopic mode.

The display may merely provide indications to an observer as to the present viewing conditions with regard to the level of reflected ambient light and the level of crosstalk which would occur during autostereoscopic use. It may then be left to the observer to decide whether to stop using the display or switch the display to a non-autostereoscopic mode, such as a transmissive or reflective 2D mode of operation. For example, as a result of observing the appearance of the first and second regions, the observer may use the mouse 24 or 26 to interact with the graphical user interface so as to change the mode of operation. A manually operable button may be provided for changing between display modes and this may carry or be adjacent a label having the same appearance as the first region so as to guide a user towards operating the button when the operating mode should be changed. For example, the label may have the same pattern as the first and second regions. In a specific example, the indicator region may have the pattern shown in Figure 5(c) and the label would then have the same pattern. The label would also have the same colours as the indicator area either when the indicator shows a good state or when the indicator shows a bad state.

If the colours of the label are the same as the indicator when a good condition is shown, then a user would use the button to revert to the 2D mode when the indicator and label differ. Alternatively, if the colours of the label are the same as the indicator when a bad condition is shown, then the user would use the button to revert to the 2D mode when the indicator and label are the same. Alternatively, the observer may have further interaction with the display as described hereinafter.

Figure 7 illustrates two ways in which the display may be operated according to the ambient lighting conditions. In Figure 7 (a) a "passive" interaction is illustrated whereby, at 40, the observer or viewer selects the maximum crosstalk which is acceptable for autostereoscopic viewing. For example, the observer uses controls provided by the graphical user interface of the display, perhaps also using a keyboard (not shown), to set the maximum value of crosstalk as a percentage. The controller 23 then applies the appropriate intensity value A to the pixels of the second region 34 as shown at 41 and, as shown at 42, the observer decides whether to use the display in the autostereoscopic mode in accordance with the appearances of the first and second

regions, as described hereinbefore. The step 40 may be varied, for example such that the controller 23 determines the value of T/R on the basis of a choice made by the manufacturer. Alternatively, the step 40 may be performed such that the observer cycles through different selections and indicates a choice to the controller 23, for example using the mouse 24.

A further possibility is that there is a plurality of first and second regions arranged to appear at different positions on the display, for example configured as different icons or as different numbers representing possible selections of maximum crosstalk values. The observer judges which "indicator" is such that the first and second regions have the same or closest brightnesses and may use the mouse 24 or 26 to point to and select the appropriate icon or crosstalk value if further interaction with the display is then possible. As a further alternative, the second region 34 may be arranged in the form of a scale representing a range of crosstalk values which appears as discrete values or substantially continuous values. The observer can judge which part of the scale is closest in brightness to an adjacent part of the first region and either make a decision shown at 42 or use the mouse to indicate the current value of crosstalk to the display.

Figure 7 (b) illustrates an alternative technique in which crosstalk correction is applied provided the level of crosstalk indicated to the display is less than a maximum threshold value. At 43, the observer indicates to the display by means of the mouse 24 or 26 or by any other manually operable control the current level of crosstalk caused by the prevailing ambient illumination. At 44, the controller 23 applies crosstalk correction by processing the image data supplied from the computer 21, for example in accordance with the crosstalk correction technique disclosed in EP 0 953 962. At the same time, the controller applies the appropriate intensity A to the second region 34 corresponding to the selected crosstalk level.

At 45, the observer decides from the appearance of the first and second regions whether the crosstalk correction provided by the controller 23 is appropriate for the prevailing conditions. If the correction is not appropriate, the selection of the crosstalk level is repeated at 46 and a reassessment made until the appropriate crosstalk correction is

achieved or it is found that correction cannot be achieved, for example because the crosstalk is excessive. The upper limit on the amount of correction which may be applied may be dependent on the image or may be set in the design of the display, for example depending on the crosstalk correction technique actually used.

In an alternative mode of operation, the parameter A may be set to a value smaller than the maximum ambient brightness level and, instead of setting the pixels in the first region 33 to full brightness, they may be set to a brightness or transmissivity in accordance with an attenuating factor or parameter B. The parameter B may then be adjusted until the first and second regions appear to match in brightness to the observer. When the regions appear to be of the same brightness, the following condition applies:

$$BR=A(T+R)$$

Which may be rewritten as:

$$\frac{A}{B} = \frac{\frac{R}{T}}{\left(\frac{R}{T} + 1\right)}$$

The crosstalk value is then given by the value of A/B.

The "brightness" indicator formed by the first and second regions may be located within the area of a display providing a visual position indicator of the type disclosed in EP 0 860 728. Such a visual position indicator is used by an observer to indicate whether he or she is at a correct position with respect to the display in order to have autostereoscopic viewing of the images displayed by the display. The visual position indicator occupies a region which is visible to the observer and provides an indication which, when the observer is correctly positioned, is effectively invisible to the observer. The indication only becomes visible when the observer is in an incorrect viewing position, for example giving rise to pseudoscopic viewing. Such an indicator does not

therefore direct light to the normal viewing position for autostereoscopic viewing but may be used to provide brightness indication.

As shown in Figure 8, only the red pixels in the visual position indicator area are used to provide position indication. However, the structure of the display is such that other colour pixels may be viewed through the visual position indicator region of the barrier as illustrated in Figure 8 (a). Assuming that the visual position indicator makes use of the red pixels, some or all of the blue and green pixels may be lit with the brightness attenuating factor A to form the first regions indicated in Figure 8 (b) as "area two". Those pixels identified as "area one" in Figure 8 (b) are lit with full brightness. The "area two" pixels receive transmitted light through corresponding slits of the parallax barrier 31 whereas the "area one" pixels lie above opaque regions of the barrier 31 and do not receive any light from the backlight 13. For example, the area two pixels may be those located at the edges of the screen whereas the area one pixels may be those located everywhere accept at the edge of the screen. Also, some of the slits of the barrier 31 forming the visual position indicator may be "removed" so as to be opaque and prevent transmission of light from the backlight 13 in order to provide a larger number of pixels to form the area one.

In the embodiments described hereinbefore, the first and second regions 33 and 34 are provided as spatially separate regions on the LCD 22. In an alternative set of embodiments, these regions may be defined temporally. In such embodiments, the screen 32 is unnecessary and is not therefore present. Instead, the controller 23 is arranged to switch the LCD 22, at least during a crosstalk-assessing mode, between two phases of operation. In the first phase, all of the pixels are lit at full brightness and the backlight 13 is switched off. In the second phase, all of the pixels are set to a brightness specified by the parameter A and the backlight 13 is switched on. Switching between states may be performed manually or automatically by the controller 23.

If the same spatial regions, such as the whole display area, are used for crosstalk indication during the two phases of operation, it is necessary to indicate when the

display should be darker or of the same brightness in order that the observer can assess whether the level of crosstalk is acceptable for autostereoscopic viewing.

Alternatively, more limited regions may be used to provide the crosstalk indication, for example to allow the observer to assess the actual amount of crosstalk and to signal this to the display so that, for example, automatic crosstalk correction may be provided as described hereinbefore or the display may automatically switch from the autostereoscopic mode to, for example, the 2D mode.

### **CLAIMS:**

- 1. An autostereoscopic display comprising a pixellated transflective spatial light modulator, a backlight, an arrangement for substantially preventing transmission of light through at least one first region of the modulator to an autostereoscopic viewing region of the display, and a controller for setting at least some of the pixels of the at least one first region to a first predetermined transmissivity and for setting at least some of the pixels of at least one second region of the modulator to a second predetermined transmissivity less than the first transmissivity.
- 2. A display as claimed in claim 1, in which the arrangement comprises a screen for substantially preventing the transmission of light from the backlight through the at least one first region.
- 3. A display as claimed in claim 1, in which the arrangement comprises a first portion of the backlight disposed behind the at least one first region and switchable off independently of a second portion of the backlight disposed behind the at least one second region.
- 4. An autostereoscopic display comprising a pixellated transflective spatial light modulator, a backlight, and a controller for alternately selecting first and second phases of operation, wherein, during the first phase, the controller sets at least some of the pixels of at least one region of the modulator to a first transmissivity and sets the backlight to supply light of a first intensity through at least some of the at least some pixels of the at least one first region, and wherein, during the second phase, the controller sets at least some of the pixels of at least one second region of the modulator to a second transmissivity less than the first transmissivity and sets the backlight to supply light of a second intensity greater than the first intensity through at least some of the at some pixels of the at least one second region.
- 5. A display as claimed in claim 4, in which the at least one first region at least partially overlaps the at least one second region.


- 6. A display as claimed in claim 5, in which each of the at least one first region and the at least one second region comprises substantially the whole display area of the modulator.
- 7. A display as claimed in any one of claims 4 to 6, in which the controller is arranged to switch automatically between the first and second phases.
- 8. A display as claimed in any one of claims 4 to 6, comprising a first manually operable control for switching between the first and second phases.
- 9. A display as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, comprising a parallax element between the modulator and the backlight.
- 10. A display as claimed in claim 9, in which the parallax element comprises a parallax barrier.
- 11. A display as claimed in claim 9 or 10 when dependent on claim 2, in which the screen comprises part of the parallax element.
- 12. A display as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, in which the first transmissivity is substantially equal to the maximum transmissivity of the pixels.
- 13. A display as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, in which the at least one second region comprises a plurality of second regions.
- 14. A display as claimed in claim 13, in which the controller is arranged to set the pixels of the different second regions to different second transmissivities.
- 15. A display as claimed in any one of claims 1 to 12, in which the controller is arranged to set the pixels of the at least one second region to any one of a plurality of second transmissivities.


- 16. A display as claimed in claim 14 or 15, in which the pixels of each second transmissivity form a pattern providing a visual representation of a crosstalk value corresponding to the second transmissivity.
- 17. A display as claimed in any one of claims 14 to 16, comprising a second manually operable control for selecting any one of the second transmissivities.
- 18. A display as claimed in claim 17, in which the controller is arranged to provide a or the crosstalk value corresponding to the selected second transmissivity.
- 19. A display as claimed in claim 18, in which the controller is arranged to perform crosstalk correction of autostereoscopic image data for the modulator in accordance with the crosstalk value.
- 20. A display as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, having a two dimensional operational mode.
- 21. A display as claimed in claim 20 when dependent on claim 18 or 19, in which the controller is arranged to switch to the two dimensional mode when the crosstalk value exceeds a predetermined threshold.
- 22. A display as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, in which the at least some pixels of the first and second regions are of the same colour.
- 23. A display as claimed in any one of the preceding claims, in which the modulator comprises a liquid crystal device.

# ABSTRACT AUTOSTEREOSCOPIC DISPLAY

(Figures 3 and 4)

An autostereoscopic display comprises a pixellated transflective spatial light modulator (22) which is arranged to provide a visual indication to an observer of the amount of crosstalk caused by reflection of ambient illumination. The display comprises a rear parallax barrier (31) between a backlight (13) and the modulator (22). Part of the barrier (31) is formed as a screen (32) blocking transmitted light from a first region (33) of the modulator (22) so that the pixels in this region are visible only by reflection of ambient illumination (35). In a second region (34), the pixels are illuminated with both transmitted and reflected light. A controller (23) sets the pixels of the first region (33) to maximum intensity and the pixels of the second region (34) to a fraction of the maximum intensity. The fraction corresponds, for example, to a maximum amount of crosstalk which is permissible for autostereoscopic viewing. When the brightness of the first region is darker than or the same as the brightness of the second region, crosstalk is sufficiently low to permit autostereoscopic viewing.








Fig 3




Figure 4




Figure 5




Figure 6




Figure 7




Figure 8